UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,617	02/05/2007	Thomas Bork Hardahl	742111-174	8471
25570 7590 11/26/2010 ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C. Intellectual Property Department P.O. Box 10064 MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064			EXAMINER	
			DIETRICH, JOSEPH M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com docketing@rmsclaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/596,617	HARDAHL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph M. Dietrich	3762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matter	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2006 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]		mmary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/5/10.	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date  prmal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/596,617 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed August 26, 2010, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sweeney in view of Shusterman have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 – 3, 6, 8, and 11 - 13 has been withdrawn.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 3762

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 – 13 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,477,936. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both recite analyzing ECG curvature for indicating Long QT syndrome.

## Claim Objections

4. Claims 4 - 7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 recites "a plurality of selected parameters from a number of groups of parameters including symmetry, flatness, duration, **and/or** complexity." Claim 4 recites a list of symmetry parameters. However, if symmetry is not one of the selected groups of parameters (from claim 1), then claim 4 is indefinite and unclear. It is suggested to first positively recite in claim 4 that at least one of the plurality of selected parameters comprises a symmetry parameter before further describing the symmetry parameter.

Art Unit: 3762

Similarly, claim 5 recites a list of flatness parameters; claim 6 recites a list of duration parameters; and claim 7 recites a list of complexity parameters. It is suggested to first positively recite that at least one of the plurality of selected parameters comprises a flatness parameter, a duration parameter, and a complexity parameter, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 1 – 17 would be allowable if the double patenting rejection is overcome and if they are rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objections previously mentioned.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,617 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M. Dietrich whose telephone number is (571)270-1895. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Niketa Patel can be reached on 571-272-4156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. M. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 3762 /Scott M. Getzow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762